UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,885	10/03/2000	Daniel F. White	9236	4770
26884 PAUL W. MAI	7590 04/30/200 R T I N		EXAMINER	
NCR CORPORATION, LAW DEPT.		RUDY, ANDREW J		
1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD, DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/678,885	WHITE, DANIEL F.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
• •	N. V. IO OET TO EVENE - 1.10	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	January 2007.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.	
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9-11,14-16,19 and 20</u> is/are p	pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11,14-16,19 and 2</u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• • •
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.
	·	
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/678,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19 and 20 are pending. Claims 11, 14-16, 19 and 20 are withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

2. Applicant's January 30, 2007 Amendment and REMARKS have been reviewed. The previous rejections are withdrawn pursuant thereto.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez et al. US 7,158,948.

Rodriguez discloses, e.g. Figs. 1A-7B, a purchase transaction, e.g. 702, formatting transaction data into a digital receipt, e.g. e-receipt, selectively omitting identified purchase transaction data, e.g. col. 4, lines 45-59, an optical card machine, col. 3, lines 23-44, transmitting the digital receipt to a storage location for the merchant, e.g. 320, 322, a network, e.g. 202, Figs. 3A, 3B, and a device, e.g. safe card. Rodriguez does not specifically disclose a retail terminal, but does disclose a terminal, e.g. cols. 1-2, lines 65-12, used with purchase transaction settings. Nonetheless, Official Notice is taken that retail terminals used with electronic cards has been common knowledge in

the art. To have provided such for Rodriguez would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been using retail terminals for its intended use.

Applicant's January 30, 2007 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

5. A further pertinent reference of interest is noted on the attached PTO-1449.

## Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627